UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/026,021 | 12/21/2001 | Yasumichi Hitoshi | 7946-79823-01 | 6123 | |
| 74839 | 7590 12/27/2007 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Klarquist Sparkman, LLP 121 SW Salmon St | | | YU, MISOOK | | |
| Floor 16 Portland, OR 97204 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Tordand, OK | 7204 | | 1642 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/27/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| - 1 | | l Aliandian l | No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application | NO. | | | |
| | | 10/026,021 | | HITOSHI ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | MISOOK YU | | 1642 | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the co | over sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, will apply and will expended the applications. | however, may a reply be time six (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 C | | | • | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowa | ance except fo | r formal matters, pro | osecution as to the ments is | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under l | Ex parte Quay | 7e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 55 O.G. 215. | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 9,10,24,25,32-34 and 36-38 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9, 10, 24, 25, 32-34, and 36-38 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from cons re rejected. | ideration. | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance as a constant of the specification is objected to by the Examin The specification is objected to be specification to the specification of the specification is objected to be specification to the specification of the specification is objected to be specification of the specifi | ier. cented or h)[[| objected to by the | Examiner. | | |
| 10) | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be | held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required | if the drawing(s) is of | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note | e the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)□ a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been nts have been iority documer au (PCT Rule | received. received in Applica its have been received. 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage | | |
| 2) Not | nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) immation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ier No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 9, 10, 24, 25, 32-34, and 36-38 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103, Maintained

Claims 9, 10, 24, 25, 32, 33, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,650,501 A of record in WO 01/53312 (Tang) A1 of record.

Claims 9, 10, 24, 25, 32, 33, 36, and 37 are drawn to method of identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation by measuring kinase activity of SAK polypeptide when said compound is contacted with a SAK polypeptide encoded by a nucleic acid encoding a SAK polypeptide having at least 95% sequence identity to instant SEQ ID NO:2 protein, wherein the kinase is measured in vitro (claim 10), the modulation is inhibition of cellular proliferation (claim 24), the polypeptide being recombinant (claim 32), wherein the compound is an antibody (claim 34), wherein the polypeptide in the base claim is encoded by a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, or a small organic molecule (claim 36), or a peptide (claim 37).

Applicant argues that 47% sequence similarity in the catalytic domain of a putative kinase in the absence of biochemical confirmation of kinase activity is insufficient to demonstrate that a particular amino acid sequence has kinase activity. Applicant argues that Examiner's analysis of the SAK protein of the '501 patent having kinase activity depends on impermissible hindsight.

These arguments have been fully considered but found unpersuasive because The '501 patent teaches a SAK polypeptide having at least 77% sequence identity to instant SEQ ID NO:2 protein as shown by the sequence alignment below.

```
RESULT 1
US-08-252-995D-4
; Sequence 4, Application US/08252995D
: Patent No. 5650501
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
    APPLICANT: Dennis, James W
    APPLICANT: Heffernan, Mike
   APPLICANT: Fode, Carol
    TITLE OF INVENTION: NOVEL SERINE/THREONINE KINASE
   NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 14
    CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:
     ADDRESSEE: BERESKIN & PARR
      STREET: 40 King Street West
      CITY: Toronto
      STATE: Ontario
      COUNTRY: Canada
      ZIP: M5H 3Y2
    COMPUTER READABLE FORM:
    MEDIUM TYPE: Floppy disk
      COMPUTER: IBM PC compatible
      OPERATING SYSTEM: PC-DOS/MS-DOS
      SOFTWARE: PatentIn Release #1.0, Version #1.30
    CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:
      APPLICATION NUMBER: US/08/252,995D
      FILING DATE: 02-JUN-1994
      CLASSIFICATION: 536
    ATTORNEY/AGENT INFORMATION:
     NAME: Kurdydyk, Linda M
      REGISTRATION NUMBER: 34,971
      REFERENCE/DOCKET NUMBER: 3153-96
    TELECOMMUNICATION INFORMATION:
      TELEPHONE: (416) 364-7311
       TELEFAX: (416) 361-1398
   INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 4:
    SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
       LENGTH: 925 amino acids
       TYPE: amino acid
       TOPOLOGY: linear
     MOLECULE TYPE: protein
 US-08-252-995D-4
                         77.3%; Score 3927.5; DB 1; Length 925;
  Query Match
  Best Local Similarity 78.6%; Pred. No. 8.6e-297;
  Matches 763; Conservative 76; Mismatches 83; Indels 49; Gaps
                                                                          9;
```

Application/Control Number: 10/026,021 Art Unit: 1642

| Qy | | MATCIGEKIEDFKVGNLLGKGSFAGVYRAESIHTGLEVAIKMIDKKAMYKAGMVQRVQNE : | 60 60 |
|----|-----|---|----------|
| Db | | | |
| Qу | 61 | VKIHCQLKHPSILELYNYFEDSNYVYLVLEMCHNGEMNRYLKNRVKPFSENEARHFMHQI | 120 |
| Db | 61 | VKIHCQLKHPSVLELYNYFEDNNYVYLVLENCHNGEMNRYLKNRMKPFSEREARHFMHQI | 120 |
| Qу | 121 | ITGMLYLHSHGILHRDLTLSNLLLTRNMNIKIADFGLATQLKMPHEKHYTLCGTPNYISP | 180 |
| Db | 121 | ITGMLYLHSHGILHRDLTLSNILLTRNMNIKIADFGLATQLNMPHEKHYTLCGTPNYISP | 180 |
| Qу | 181 | EIATRSAHGLESDVWSLGCMFYTLLIGRPPFDTDTVKNTLNKVVLADYEMPSFLSIEAKD | 240 |
| Db | 181 | EIATRSAHGLESDIWSLGCMSYTLLIGRPPFDTDTVKNTLNKVVLADYEMPAFLSREAQD | 240 |
| Qy | | LIHQLLRRNPADRLSLSSVLDHPFMSRNSSTKSKDLGTVEDSIDSGHATISTAITASSST | |
| Db | 241 | LIHQLLRRNPADRLSLSSVLDHPFMSRNPSPKSKDVGTVEDSMDSGHATLSTTITASSGT | 300 |
| Qу | | SISGSLFDKRRLLIGQPLPNKMTVFPKNKSSTDFSSSGDGNSFYTQWGNQETSNSGRG | |
| Db | 301 | SLSGSLLD-RRLLVGQPLPNKITVFQKNKNSSDF-SSGDGSNFCTQUGNPEQEANSRGRG | 358 |
| Qу | | RVIQDAEERPHSRYLRRAYSSDRSGTSNSQSQAKTYTMERCHSAEMLSVSKRSGGENEE | |
| Db | 359 | RVIEDAEERPHSRYLRRAHSSDRASPSN-QSRAKTYSVERCHSVENLSKPRRS | 410 |
| Qу | 419 | RYSPTDNNANIFNFFKEKTSSSSGSFERPDNNQALSNHLCPGKTPFPFADPTPQTETVQQ | 478 |
| Db | 411 | LDENQHSSNHHCLGKTPFPFADQTPQMEMVQQ | 442 |
| Qу | 479 | WFGNLQINAHLRKTTEYDSISPNRDFQGHPDLQKDTSKNAWTDTKVKKNSDASDNAHSVK | 538 |
| Db | 443 | WFGNLQMNAHLGETNEHHTVSPNRDFQDYPDLQ-DTLRNAWTDTRASKNADTSANVHAVK | 501 |
| Qу | 539 | QQNTMKYMTALHSKPEIIQQECVFGSDPLSEQSKTRGMEPPWGYQNRTLRSITSPLVAHR | 598 |
| Db | 502 | QLSAMKYMSAHHHKPEVMPQEPGLHPHSEQSKNRSMESTLGYQKPTLRSITSPLIAHR | 559 |
| Qу | 599 | LKPIRQKTKKAVVSILDSEEVCVELVKEYASQEYVKEVLQISSDGNTITIYYPNGGRGFP | 658 |
| Db | 560 | LKPIRQKTKKAVVSILDSEEVCVELLRECASEGYVKEVLQISSDGTMITVYYPNDGRGFP | 619 |
| Qу | 659 | LADRPPSPTDNISRYSFDNLPEKYWRKYQYASRFVQLVRSKSPKITYFTRYAKCILMENS | 718 |
| Db | 620 | LADRPPLPTDNISRYSFDNLPEKYWRKYQYASRFIQLVRSKTPKITYFTRYAKCILMENS | 679 |
| Qу | 719 | PGADFEVWFYDGVKIHKTEDFIQVIEKTGKSYTLKSESEVNSLKEEIKMYMDHANEGHRI | 778 |
| Db | 680 | PGADFEVWFYDGAKIHKTENLIHIIEKTGISYNLKNENEVTSLKEEVKVYMDHANEGHRI | 739 |
| Qу | 779 | CLALESIISEEERKTRSAPFFPIIIGRKPGSTSSPKALSPPPSVDSNYPTRDRASFNRMV | 838 |
| Db | 740 | : : :: : : : | 798 |
| Qy | 839 | MHSAASPTQAPILNPSMVTNEGLGLTTTASGTDISSNSLKDCLPKSAQLLKSVFVKNVGW | 898 |

10/026,021 Art Unit: 1642

Qy 959 LLMFSNPTPNF 969

Based on the abstract, the title, and the entire disclosure of the '501, one of skill in the art would not doubt the SAK protein disclosed in the '501 patent is a kinase, and based on the 77% amino acid sequence identity to the SAK polypeptide disclosed in the '501 patent, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize a polypeptide at least 95% identical to the instant SEQ ID NO:2 would have kinase activity.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Applicant argues with the attached Manning reference (Appendix A).

Applicant argues that Manning reference teaches nearly 10% of all proteins despite having kinase domain homology lacks actual kinase activity. Applicant argues that since the '501 patent did not actually show that the protein possess kinase activity, one of ordinary skill would doubt that the protein disclosed in the '501 patent have kinase activity lacking actual confirmation of biochemical kinase activity.

10/026,021 Art Unit: 1642

These arguments have been fully considered but found unpersuasive because one of ordinary skill in the art reading Manning reference would think a protein having a kinase domain homology would likely have biochemical activity because having actual kinase activity has 90% chance vs. 10% chance for not having actual kinase activity. In addition, the '501 patent teaches (column 4 lines 46-55) the following:

The invention still further provides a method for identifying a substance which is a substrate of the novel serine/threonine kinase protein of the invention, or an isoform or part of the protein, comprising reacting an activated serine/threonine kinase protein of the invention, or part of the protein, preferably the kinase domain, with at least one substance which potentially is a substrate of the kinase protein, or part of the protein, under conditions which permit the phosphorylation of serine/threonine residues, and assaying for phosphorylation of the substance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill to arrive at the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success, because the '501 patent teaches an assay to identify a compound for modulating proliferation, especially to treat the various cancers, by determining the kinase activity of a SAK polypeptide, and Tang teaches a SAK polypeptide 99.9% identical (i.e. SEQ ID NO: 2389) to the instant SEQ ID NO:2. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to make and use the claimed invention to isolate a proliferation-modulating compound for cancer treatment.

Claims 9, 37, and **38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,650,501 A of record (22 July 1997) in view of WO

10/026,021

Art Unit: 1642

01/53312 A1 of record (Tang) in view of and further in view of US 5,589,356 A (31 December 1996, the '356 patent from now on).

Claims 9, 37, and 38 are interpreted as drawn to method of identifying a useful circular peptide by determining whether or not said circular peptide affecting cellular proliferation when said compound is contacted with a SAK polypeptide.

Applicant argues that the '501 patent does not teach all the limitations of the base claim 9. The argument is fully considered but found unpersuasive for reasons given above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU whose telephone number is

10/026,021 Art Unit: 1642

571-272-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MISOOK YU
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1642

/Misook Yu/